

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 06-00027-01-CR-W-HFS
	)	
KEITH M. BANKS, JR.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

**A. Order for Detention**

After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to **18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act**, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to **18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i)**.

**B. Statement of Reasons for the Detention**

The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:

By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

**X** By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

**C. Findings of Fact**

The Court's findings are based on the evidence which was presented in Court and that which was contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following:

**X** (1) Nature and circumstances of the offense charged:

**X** (a) The crime: *Count 1: Conspiracy to distribute 5 kilograms or more of cocaine, its salts, optical and geometric isomers and salts of isomers, and 5 kilograms or more of a mixture containing cocaine base; Count 2: Distribution of 5 kilograms or more of a mixture containing cocaine base; Count 3: Distribution of a mixture containing powder cocaine.*  
Penalties: *Count 1: Not less than 10 years and not more than life imprisonment, not more than a \$4,000,000 fine, and not less than 5 years supervised release; Count 2: Not less than 5 years and not more than 40 years imprisonment, not more than a \$2,000,000 fine; and not less than 4*

**Banks, Jr., Keith M.**

*years supervised release; Count 3: Not more than 20 years imprisonment, not more than \$1,000,000 fine, and not less than 3 years supervised release.*

(b) The offense is a crime of violence.

(c) The offense involves a narcotic drug.

(d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit:

X (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high.

X (3) The history and characteristics of the defendant including:

X (a) General Factors:

The defendant appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether the defendant will appear.

The defendant has no family ties in the area.

X The defendant has no steady employment.

The defendant has no substantial financial resources.

The defendant is not a long-time resident of the community.

The defendant does not have any significant community ties.

X Past conduct of the defendant: *The defendant has two felony convictions for unlawful use of a weapon (a loaded revolver was recovered from the defendant's front pants pocket upon entering Central High School in 1992) and traffic in drugs 2<sup>nd</sup> (1998). In the 1998 case, a search warrant at the residence where the defendant was arrested yielded a loaded pistol with laser sights, body armor vests, two-way radio, cocaine (87 grams), marijuana, a scale, and paperwork addressed to the defendant at that residence. He also has a conviction for disturbing the peace. He has an arrest history that includes arrests (charges not prosecuted/released) for narcotics violation (twice), disorderly conduct, and unlawful use of a weapon. He has a history of using/abusing alcohol and marijuana.*

X The defendant has a history of drug abuse.

X The defendant has a history of alcohol abuse.

The defendant has a significant prior criminal record.

The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear in court proceedings.

(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court:

At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:

Probation

Parole

Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.

- X** (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger by the defendant's release are as follows: *The defendant is charged with a serious offense involving significant penalties. The defendant was arrested at a residence (3217 Montgall) that was owned by his mother. Officers seized marijuana, a scale, numerous documents bearing the defendant's name and a quit claim deed for 3649 Norton and 3211 Montgall. The officers obtained a search warrant (after observing firearms, etc. through open window) for 3211 Montgall. Seized from that residence were approximately 675 rounds of various ammunition, a pistol grip, a .22 caliber rifle, a 3006 caliber rifle, a pellet gun, documents, property tax statement in the name of Keith Banks of 3211 Montgall, and mail in the defendant's name. 3211 Montgall is the address listed on the defendant's driver's license. Defendant told Pretrial Officer Nick Zych that he had resided for the past 5 years with his mother at 3938 Paseo. The defendant's mother advised that he lived at 3217 Montgall. His prior criminal arrest/conviction history reveals drug and weapon related charges. He has a history of using/abusing an illegal substance.*
- X** (5) Rebuttable Presumptions
- In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumptions(s) contained in **18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)** which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
- a. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
- (A) A crime of violence; or
  - (B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
  - (C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more or;
  - (D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (A) through (C) above and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release;

***Banks, Jr., Keith M.***

and the Court finds that

**18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(1), or**

**18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2), or**

**18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) applies.**

- X**     b.     That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:
- X**     (A)     That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.
- (B)     That the defendant has committed an offense under **18 U.S.C. § 924(c) or § 956(a).**

The Court, in finding that there are no conditions or combination of conditions which reasonably will assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of any other person and the community, has considered and rejected alternatives. Among the alternatives considered, the Court has rejected house arrest and a daily reporting scheme. In rejecting these alternatives, the Court notes the gravity of the offense and the substantial penalties which may possibly be imposed.

**D.     Additional Directives**

Pursuant to **18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4)**, the Court directs that:

      The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

      The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with his counsel; and

      That, upon an order of a court of the United States, or upon request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with court proceedings.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*/s/ Sarah W. Hays*

---

SARAH W. HAYS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE